

Sh. Ravinder Singh H.No.986, Near Dev Hotel,, Main Bazar, Moga.

Versus

....Appellant

....Respondent

**Public Information Officer** O/o Modern Central jail, Faridkot

**First Appellate Authority** O/o IGP (Prisons), Chandigarh

### APPEAL CASE NO.1155 of 2016 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

Present: For the respondent: Sh. Lalit Kumar Kohli (Superintendent) (8282802501) ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 04.02.2020 vide which respondent, Sh. Joginder Singh (Retd. Deputy Superintendent) stated that penalty was waived off by the Hon'ble High Court vide order passed dated 30.04.2019. It was observed that there is not clearly mentioned regarding the penalty to be recovered or not in the Order passed by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court dated 30.04.2019. So this case file was sent o the Deputy Registrar to take legal opinion so that appropriate action could be taken.

The Legal Advisor of the Commission vide her opinion dated 09.03.2020 has opined that in case the relief sought by any party from the Court is not specifically allowed by the Court, the same is deemed to be declined. Accordingly, amounting Rs. 25,000/- imposed upon Sh. Joginder Singh is to be recovered from him. So this case is fixed for hearing on 21.09.2020 i.e. today.

In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Lalit Kumar Kohli states that penalty has already 2. been deposited in the Government Treasury and copy of challan has been sent to the Commission through an email.

3. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that compensation cheque amounting Rs. 5,000/- was already been given to the appellant as per order of the Commission dated 22.11.2016 and reply related with requisite information was also supplied to the appellant. So this present case was only pending in connection with penalty to be deposited or not.

It is also observed that an email dated 21.09.2020 has been received from the respondent from email ID cifdk-pb@gov.in comprising a letter no. 6139 dated 21.09.2020 signed by the Superintendent, central Jail, Faridkot stating that penalty amounting Rs. 25,000/has already been deposited in the Government Treasury.

### APPEAL CASE NO.1155 of 2016 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

In that email copy of challan regarding penalty deposit (Receipt no. 559445 dated 21.09.2020) and copy of compensation cheque (cheque no. 221378 dated 28.10.2016) is also attached. This email is taken on record

4. As compliance of Orders passed by the Commission is already done by the respondent

PIO, therefore no further action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is

## disposed of & closed.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.09.2020

## (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



### Major Bahadur Singh (9888703344)

S/o Sh. Jarnail Singh R/o VPO Barundi, Tehsil Raikot, District Ludhiana

Versus

Appellant

Respondent

Public Information Officer

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh

**Public Information Officer** O/o SSP Rural, Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority** 

O/o IGP, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana

# Appeal Case No.: 750 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present:Major Bahadur Singh, appellant.For the respondent: ASI, Harpreet Singh (9779800315)

### <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 19.08.2020 vide which it was observed that complete requisite information is not exempted. Appellant, Major Bahadur Singh was advised to visit the respondent's office on 03.09.2020 at 11:00 AM to inspect the official record. Respondent PIO is directed to make sure appellant has inspected the record as per his RTI application and supply the identified documents as per RTI Act, 2005. A copy of this order was sent to both the parties through registered post. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 21.09.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, appellant states that requisite information has been received from the respondent PIO but not on his visit as per the Order of the Commission dated 19.08.2020. He added that he visited on 03.09.2020 and waited for two hours but did not get any response from the respondent PIO and given in writing on 04.09.2020 to the respondent's office and respondent Harpreet Singh called him after 10 days. He further added that 17.09.2020 he got a call from the respondent and received the required information. He also requested the Commission to close this case.

3. Respondent, Sh. Harpreet Singh is present for today's hearing.

4. As the information stands supplied, therefore no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed with the directions to the respondent PIO** to deal RTI applications within stipulated time as per the RTI Act, 2005 to avoid the wastage of precious time and resources of the public as well as of the Public Authority.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.09.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner.



**Sh. Dilbagh Chand (9814414473)** S/o Sh. Ramji Dass, Village Hayatrpur, PO Hambowal, Tehsil Samrala, District Ludhiana

Versus

Appellant

**Public Information Officer** O/o DIG, Ludhiana

### **First Appellate Authority** O/o DIG, Ludhiana

### Appeal Case No.: 959 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Respondent

Present: Sh. Dilbagh Chand, appellant. For the respondent: ASI, Roor Singh.

## <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 19.08.2020 vide which appellant, Sh. Dilbagh Chand was advised to go through the supplied information, once he had received and point out deficiency, if any, in writing to the respondent PIO with a copy to the Commission, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 21.09.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, appellant states that requisite information relates with point no. 5 is still pending form the respondent PIO.

3. On this, respondent, ASI, Roor Singh states that 5 applications in one application have been sent by the appellant. He added that appellant was asked the Darkhast number but not provided by the appellant and reply was sent to him through registered post on 18.08.2020.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, respondent, ASI, Roor Singh is directed to send the reply relates point no. 5 to the appellant and respondent assured that he will send through registered post to the appellant. On the assurance of the respondent, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** 

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.09.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner



Appellant/Complainant

Sh. Kamaljit Singh, 8054781350

# 395-L, Model Town, Ludhiana

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana

#### **Public Information Officer** O/o Head Office, Police Station Division-5, Ludhiana

### First Appellate Authority O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1186 of 2020 Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present:Sh. Kamaljit Singh, the appellant in person.For the respondent: ASI, Naseeb Singh (9814745985)

# <u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 01.09.2020 vide which appellant stated that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO. Respondent PIO, O/o Head Office, Police Station Division-5, Ludhiana was impleaded as a necessary party in this case and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 21.09.2020 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Kamaljit Singh states that copy of order dated 29.05.2019 is still pending from the respondent.

3. On this, respondent, ASI, Naseeb Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant by hand. He assures that he will supply the copy of order dated 29.05.2019.

4. Appellant, Sh. Kamaljit Singh states that he has no objection to close this case.

5. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to supply the copy of order dated 29.05.2019 to the appellant under the intimation to the Commission. Appellant is also advised to send an acknowledgment of receiving the information to the Commission through post or email.

6. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed.** 

7. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.09.2020 (Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla) State Information Commissioner.